

Enantioselective Protonation of Prochiral Enolates with Chiral Imides

Akira Yanagisawa, Tetsuo Kikuchi, Takeshi Kuribayashi, and Hisashi Yamamoto*

Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya University, CREST, Japan Science and Technology Corporation (JST), Chikusa, Nagoya 464-01, Japan

Received 2 December 1997; revised 13 December 1997; accepted 26 December 1997

Abstract: New chiral proton sources possessing an asymmetric 2-oxazoline ring, (S,S)-imide 1 and related imides, were synthesized from Kemp's triacid and optically active 2-amino alcohols. With these chiral imides, various lithium enolates of α -monoalkylated cycloalkanones were effectively protonated with excellent to moderate enantioselectivity. An increase in enantioselectivity was observed in the asymmetric protonation of prochiral enolates with (S,S)-imide 1 using lithium salt as an additive. For example, (R)-enriched 2-n-pentylcyclopentanone 35 was obtained in high yield with 90% ee when the silyl enol ether 33 was treated with n-BuLi in the presence of 5 equiv of LiBr in Et₂O, and the resulting lithium enolate 34 was then protonated by a solution of (S,S)-imide 1 in THF. In contrast, the product 35 obtained without LiBr exhibited a lower enantiomeric excess (74% ee). © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Asymmetric protonation of prochiral metal enolates is an effective route to optically active carbonyl compounds. Although a number of groups have made important contributions to the continuing progress in this process, ^{1.3} most of these are the reactions of enolates having polar groups including amino, hydroxyl, or phenyl groups, and there have been few satisfactory reports on the asymmetric induction of enolates of simple ketones such as 2-methylcyclohexanone. ⁴ We describe here that (S,S)-imide 1 and related imides, chiral imides with an asymmetric 2-oxazoline ring, are efficient chiral proton sources for enantioselective protonation of prochiral metal enolates 2 derived from α -monoalkylated cycloalkanones (eq 1), and that the enantioselectivity can be remarkably improved using lithium bromide as an additive. ⁵

Ph S S S OLi
$$(S,S)$$
-imide 1 (S,S) -imide 1 (S,S) -imide 1 (S,S) -imide 1

Selective C-protonation of an enolate is required for achieving high enantioselectivity. We anticipated that an imide would react with an enolate preferentially at the C-2 position due to the relatively weak acidity of the imide proton.⁶ The chiral imide 1 was easily synthesized from Kemp's triacid 4^7 in more than 90% overall yield (Scheme 1). The imide acid chloride 5^8 was reacted with (1R, 2S)-2-amino-1,2-diphenylethanol (6)

^{*} E-mail address: j45988a@nucc.cc.nagoya-u.ac.jp; Fax number: +81 (0) 52-789-3222

leading to the amide 7 quantitatively, and the subsequent cyclization with thionyl chloride to form a 2-oxazoline ring via 8 furnished (S,S)-imide 1 in 92% yield. The mechanism of the cyclization in which the 2-oxazoline is formed with inversion at the carbon atom possessing the hydroxyl group is known. The structure and absolute configuration of 1 have been established by X-ray analysis. 10

Scheme 1. Synthesis of (S,S)-imide 1.

Treatment of the lithium enolate 10, prepared from the silyl enol ether 9 and methyllithium bromide complex/diethyl ether¹¹ in THF at 0 °C, with (S,S)-imide 1 (1 equiv) in THF at -78 °C for 30 min followed by quenching with Me₃SiCl at -78 °C gave (R)-enriched 2,2,6-trimethylcyclohexanone [(R)-11] in 63% yield with 86% ee (eq 2). Unreacted enolate 10 was, however, recovered as the silyl enol ether 9 in 27% yield. The high level of asymmetric induction by the chiral imide 1 is quite fascinating to synthetic chemists, and thus we studied the reaction conditions of this protonation. At least two hours of stirring was found to be required to complete the reaction (eq 2). When the protonation was quenched after 2 h with sat. NH₄Cl aqueous solution, a satisfactory yield of the product (R)-11 was obtained without any loss of enantioselectivity.

From a practical point of view, it is more beneficial to use metal enolate 12, generated directly from racemic ketone 11, for asymmetric protonation. Although various metal amides of alkali and alkaline-earth metals were tested, no better results were obtained (eq 3). For example, 82% ee of (R)-11 was produced by

protonation of the lithium enolate 12 (M = Li) derived from (\pm)-11 and LDA. The decrease in enantioselectivity is probably due to the coexisting amine which might be coordinated to a lithium metal of the enolate 12 and affect the transition state. In fact, an addition of one extra equivalent of diisopropylamine to the enolate 12 further decreased the enantiomeric excess.

Diethyl ether or THF is generally a convenient solvent for preparation of a lithium enolate from the corresponding silyl enol ether and methyllithium, however, it is not clear whether these relatively polar solvents have a positive influence on the enantioselectivity of the subsequent reaction or not. Although several less polar solvents including dichloromethane and toluene were examined for the protonation of lithium enolate 10 with (S,S)-imide 1, better results were not obtained than that with a mixture of Et_2O and THF or THF alone (eq 4).

If a deuterium-labelled chiral imide is used as a proton source for the protonation of enolates, it is possible to prepare α -deuteriated chiral ketones. For example, the lithium enolate 10 was treated with (S,S)-imide 1-d (84% deuterium incorporation) followed by quenching with sat. NH₄Cl aqueous solution to give deuteriated product (R)-11-d in 95% yield with 87% ee and 80% deuterium incorporation (eq 5). This result clearly showed that the imide proton was directly transferred to the C-2 position of the enolate 10.

With the chiral imides 1 and 13-22 derived from a variety of amino alcohols, we examined the protonation of the lithium enolate 10 under optimum reaction conditions (Table 1). Use of (R,R)-imide 13, the enantiomer of (S,S)-imide 1, gave (S)-11 with an enantioselectivity similar to that for 1 (entry 2). Substitution of the three methyl groups on the cyclohexane ring of 1 by n-propyl groups 8b,12 did not affect the enantiomeric ratio (entry 3). The imide 15 having a cis stereochemistry showed an enantioselectivity lower than that for the trans-isomers (compare entries 1-4). The configuration of product 11 was found to be determined by the absolute configuration of the C-4 asymmetric carbon atom of the oxazoline (entries 2 and 4-6). Consequently, although other chiral imides 18-22 which possess a bulky alkyl group or methoxymethyl group on the oxazoline ring were also tested, (S,S)-imide 1 and (R,R)-imide 13 were the most effective chiral proton sources for the protonation of 10.

Table 1. Protonation of the lithium enolate 10 with chiral imides 1 and 13-22^a

OSiMe₃

MeLi-LiBr

Et₂O, 0 °C

10

Chiral imide

THF, -78 °C, 2 h

11

R³

R⁴

13, R¹ = Me; R² = R⁴ = H; R³ = R⁵ = Ph

14, R¹ =
$$n$$
-Pr; R² = R⁴ = Ph; R³ = R⁵ = H

15, R¹ = n -Pr; R² = R⁵ = H; R³ = R⁴ = Ph

16, R¹ = Me; R² = R⁴ = R⁵ = H; R³ = Ph

17, R¹ = Me; R² = H; R³ = R⁴ = R⁵ = Ph

18, R¹ = Me; R² = μ -Pr; R³ = R⁴ = R⁵ = H

19, R¹ = Me; R² = μ -Pr; R³ = R⁴ = R⁵ = H

20, R¹ = Me; R² = H; R³ = μ -Pr; R⁴ = R⁵ = Ph

21, R¹ = Me; R² = H; R³ = μ -Pr; R⁴ = R⁵ = Ph

22, R¹ = Me; R² = MeOCH₂; R³ = R⁴ = H; R⁵ = Ph

entry	chiral imide	yield, % ^b	% ee ^c	$confign^d$	entry	chiral imide	yield, % ^b	% ee ^c	confign ^d
1	1	86	87	R	7	18	86	66	R
2	13	86	88	S	8	19	75	54	R
3 ^e	14	75	88	R	9	20	87	44	S
4 ^e	15	76	60	S	10	21	7 9	7	S
5	16	90	54	S	11 ^e	22	97	82	R
6	17	97	85	S					

^a Unless otherwise noted, the lithium enolate 10 was generated from the corresponding silyl enol ether (9, 1 equiv) and MeLi-LiBr (1.5 M solution in Et₂O, 1.2 equiv) in Et₂O at 0°C. The following protonation was carried out using a chiral imide (1.2 equiv) in THF at -78 °C for 2 h. The reaction was quenched by a saturated NH₄Cl aqueous solution at -78 °C. ^b Isolated yield. ^c Determined by GC analysis with chiral column (CHROMPACK). ^d The absolute configuration was determined by comparison of the $[\alpha]_D$ value with reported data. ^e The reaction was quenched by TMSCl at -78 °C to exclude the unreacted enolate 10.

This asymmetric protonation can be applied to a variety of enolates of ketones and the results using (S,S)-imide 1 or (R,R)-imide 13 are summarized in Table 2. These reactions have the following characteristics: (1) the (R)- and (S)-enriched ketones could be prepared with almost equal optical purity by (S,S)-imide 1 and (R,R)-imide 13; (2) two methyl groups at the C-6 position of the enolate 10 were effective for attaining higher enantioselectivities (entries 3 and 4); (3) introduction of a longer or bulky alkyl substituent at C-2 position of cyclohexanone derived lithium enolate 2 (n = 2) resulted in low enantiomeric excesses with the exception of 2-allyl derivative 26 (entries 5-7). Almost no asymmetric induction occurred in the

c	
ĕ	•
2	_:
۲	with I and IS
_	-
1	\simeq
	=
	æ
٠	-
1	
_	_
٦,	
•	-
	≥ .
	con .
	ă.
	~
	~
_	
•	\sim
	≃
	-
	O
	Ĺ
	¤
	-
	⇉
٠	-
	_
,	ئے
:	=
•	_
	S
	_
	≂
	Ų
٠	~
	=
	50
	>
	of various lithium enolates with 1 and
٦	_
	itioselective protonation of
	_
	=
	0
	≍
,	پ
	ď
	c
	7
	ب
•	≍
	Ų
	=
	Д
	O
	>
•	-
٠	ب
	Ç
	O
•	
	d)
	Ś
	0
٠	Ã.
,	느
	⊏
	ಹ
	=
	7
Ĺ	IJ
•	-
	٠.
4	\sim
4	
•	
•	a)
•	9
	<u>Se</u>
•	aple
	aple
	lable

		confign ^d							_	
		con	S		<u>×</u>	, S	ر. ح	ر بر		
	ketone	% ee	.4		92 ^e (96) ^f	93 ^e (97) ^f	71° (78) ^f	57 ^e (65) ^f	61e (50X)	(66) 16
		yield, $\%^c$	92		95	16	45	71		2
		chiral imide	-		-	13	-	-	•	-
R' R' (CH ₂) _n		lithium enolate b chiral imide yield, $\%^c$	OL:	88	OLi L	29 (96/4)	ia – Si	30 (90/10) OLi	31(88/12)	32 (95/5)
nide 1 nide 13 °C, 2 h		entry	88		6	10	11	12	-	<u> </u>
(S,S)-imide 1 or (<i>R,R</i>)-imide 13 THF, -78 °C, 2 h		confign ^d	×	~	R	S	R	<i>ر</i> ح		2
2 P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	ketone	% ee	f(89) ₃₉₉	6/2 (/0)	87 ^h	88 _µ	29¢	64 ⁱ (72) ^f	Joe Cook	34 (39)
P, C,		yield, $\%^c$	77	26	98	98	89	86		91
MeLi-LiBr Et ₂ O, 0 °C ~ r.t.		chiral imide	- :	.	-	13	-	-	. ,	-
OSIMe ₃ N T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T		lithium enolate ^b chiral imide yi	3_	24 (96/4)	;_ <u>}</u>	•	i3;		26 (89/11)	27 (89/11)
<u> </u>		entry	- (7	38	, 84	\$	v	· •	_

based on the regionsomeric ratio of the starting silyl enol ether 23. ⁸ Lithium enolate 2 was generated in THF at 0 °C. ^h Determined by GC analysis with chiral column (Chiraldex TM B-TA, astec). ^l Determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralcel OD-H, Daicel Chemical (CHROMPACK). at 0 °C ~ r.t. The following protonation was carried out using a chiral imide (1.2 equiv) in THF at -78 °C for 2 h. The reaction was quenched by a saturated NH₄Cl aqueous from the corresponding ketone by reduction (NaBH4/CH3OH or L-Selectride (THF) and the subsequent esterification (MTPACI, DMAP, Et3N/CH2Cl2). J Corrected value ⁴ Unless otherwise noted, lithium enolate 2 was generated from the corresponding silyl enol ether 23 (1 equiv) and MeLi-LiBr (1.5 M solution in Et₂O, 1.2 equiv) in Et₂O ^d The absolute configuration was determined by comparison of the [α]_D value with reported data. Cetermined by HPLC analysis of the MTPA ester of alcohol derived solution. ^b Parentheses indicate the regioisomeric ratio of the starting silyl enol ethers 23. ^c Isolated yield. Unreacted silyl enol ethers 23 were separated from the ketones 3. Industries, Ltd.). protonation of the lithium enolate 28 prepared from 2-phenylcyclohexanone (entry 8); (4) a cyclopentanone derived lithium enolate 2 (n = 1) offered, in general, an enantioselectivity better than that for the corresponding enolate of cyclohexanone (compare entries 6, 7, 11, and 12). The highest ee was obtained with the enolate of cyclopentanone 29 which bears a long alkyl group (entries 9 and 10). Interestingly, protonation of the lithium enolate of 2-cyclopentylcyclopentanone 32 with (S,S)-imide 1 gave (R)-enriched ketone with 53% ee (entry 13). This reversal of the absolute configuration of the product is probably owing to the steric bulkiness of the cyclopentyl group.

It is well established that a lithium halide is incorporated into a lithium enolate to form mixed aggregates 13 and often increases the enantioselectivity of asymmetric reaction. 14 Thus, we investigated the salt effect on the enantioselective protonation with (S,S)-imide 1 (Table 3). Treatment of the silyl enol ether 33 with a solution of n-BuLi/hexane 15 (1.1 equiv) in the presence of a metal salt in THF or ether at 0 °C for 2 h followed by protonation of the resulting lithium enolate 34 with (S,S)-imide 1 (1.1 equiv) in THF at -78 °C for 2 h gave (R)-enriched 2-n-pentylcyclopentanone (35). When metal salts were absent, the reaction took place in THF or a mixture of ether and THF with moderate enantioselectivity (entries 1 and 2). In contrast, higher enantiomeric excesses were observed in the presence of more than one equivalent of lithium bromide (entries 3-10). Among them, the use of 5 equiv of the salt in ether resulted in the highest optical purity (90% ee, entry 8). In general, the protonation gave better results in a mixture of ether and THF than in THF alone (entries 1-10). Other metal salts and solvents were less effective for the asymmetric induction (entries 11-19).

Table 3. Influence of salts on the enantioselectivity of protonation of the lithium enolate 34 with (S,S)-imide 1^a

OSiMe₃

$$n \cdot C_5H_{11} \xrightarrow{n \cdot BuLi, MX_n} \boxed{\begin{array}{c} OLi \\ \\ \\ \hline \\ solvent, 0 \ C} \boxed{\begin{array}{c} OLi \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array}} \qquad n \cdot C_5H_{11} \boxed{\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array}} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 1/THF \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} -78 \ C, 2 \ h \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} OC_5H_{11} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

entry	MX _n (equiv)	solvent ^b	yield, % ^c	% ee ^d	entry	MX _n (equiv)	solvent ^b	yield, % ^c	% ee ^d
1		THF	54	63	11	LiCl (5)	THF	>99	80
2		Et ₂ O	79	74	12	LiCl (5)	Et ₂ O	97	77
3	LiBr (1)	THF	82	79	13	LiClO ₄ (5)	THF	99	58
4	LiBr (1)	Et ₂ O	90	83	14	LiClO ₄ (5)	Et ₂ O	>99	72
5	LiBr (2)	THF	>99	79	15	LiI (5)	THF	78	40
6	LiBr (2)	Et ₂ O	99	85	16	NaBr (5)	THF	>99	65
7	LiBr (5)	THF	86	77	17	$MgBr_2(5)$	THF	86	10
8	LiBr (5)	Et ₂ O	>99	90	18 ^f	LiBr (5)	Et ₂ O	97	60
9	LiBr (10)	THF	97	78	19 ^g	LiBr (5)	MeO ⁿ Pr	>99	71
10	LiBr (10)	Et ₂ O	82	88					

^a Unless otherwise specified, the lithium enolate 34 was generated from the silyl enol ether 33 (1 equiv) and a solution of *n*-BuLi/hexane (1.1 equiv) in the presence of metal salt in the specified solvent at 0 °C for 2 h. The enolate 34 was then protonated with (S,S)-imide 1 (1.1 equiv) in THF at -78 °C for 2 h. The reaction was quenched by TMSCl at -78 °C to exclude the unreacted enolate 34. ^b A small amount of hexane (ca. 3%) was contained in each solvent. ^c Isolated yield. ^d Determined by GC analysis with chiral column (ChiraldexTM B-TA, astec). (R)-Enriched ketone 35 was obtained in each case except for entry 17. ^e (S)-Enriched product was obtained. ^f A solution of (S,S)-imide 1 in CH₂Cl₂ was used. ^g A solution of (S,S)-imide 1 in MeOⁿPr was used.

Findings on the enantioselective protonation of various enolates 10, 24, 34, 36, and 37 with (S,S)-imide 1 in the presence of 5 equiv of LiBr are summarized in Table 4. These examples have the following characteristics: (1) a positive salt effect has been seen for all enolates examined, except for 10 (entries 9 and 10); (2) higher enantioselectivity was observed when lithium enolate 24, 34, 36, and 37 were generated in ether than in THF;¹⁶ and (3) a lithium enolate of 2-alkylcyclopentanone is superior to that of 2-alkylcyclopexanone with respect to enantioselectivity (compare entries 1, 2, 5, and 6).

Table 4. Enantioselective protonation of various enolates with (S,S)-imide 1 in the presence of LiBr^a

OSiMe₃
R
$$n$$
-BuLi, LiBr (5 eq)
solvent, 0 °C
 R'
 R'
 $CH_2)_n$
 R
 R'
 $CH_2)_n$
 R
 R'
 $CH_2)_n$
 R

entry	lithium enolate ^b	solvent ^c	yield, %	d % ee ^e	entry	lithium enolate ^b	solvent ^c	yield, %	d % ee ^e
1	OLi	THF	73 (95)	86 ^f (59) ^f	7	OLi	THF	91 (98)	63, 65 ^g (51, 52 ^g)
2	36	Et ₂ O	93 (91)	92 ^f (51) ^f	8	37 (97/3)	Et ₂ O	98 (98)	71, 73 ^g (60, 62 ^g)
3	OLi n-C₅H ₁₁	THF	86 (54)	77 (63)	9	OLi	THF	95 (98)	$88^h \\ (88)^h$
4	34	Et ₂ O	>99 (79)	90 (74)	10		Et ₂ O	84 (88)	79 ^h (87) ^h
5	OLi	THF	92 (89)	48, 50 ^g (23, 24 ^g)		10			
6	24 (96/4)	Et ₂ O	98 (85)	$66, 68^g$ $(32, 33^g)$					

unless otherwise specified, a lithium enolate 2 was generated from the corresponding silyl enol ether 23 (1 equiv) and a solution of n-BuLi/hexane (1.1 equiv) in the presence of LiBr (5 equiv) in THF or Et₂O at 0 °C for 2 h. The following protonation was carried out using (S,S)-imide 1 (1.1 equiv) in THF at -78 °C for 2 h. The reaction was quenched by TMSCl at -78 °C to exclude the unreacted enolate 2. b Parentheses indicate the regioisomeric ratio of the starting silyl enol ethers 23. A small amount of hexane (ca. 3%) was contained in each solvent. I solated yield. Parentheses indicate the yields of the reaction in the absence of LiBr. Perentheses indicate the enantioselectivities of the reaction in the absence of LiBr. (R)-Enriched ketone was obtained in each case. Determined by GC analysis with chiral column (Chiraldex M B-TA, astec) of the acetate ester of cis-2-methylcyclopentanol derived from the corresponding ketone by reduction (L-Selectride THF, -78 °C) and acetylation (Ac₂O, Et₃N/CH₂Cl₂, r.t.). Corrected value based on the regioisomeric ratio of the starting silyl enol ether 23. Determined by GC analysis with chiral column (Chiraldex TM G-TA, astec).

It is not obvious why LiBr increases the enantioselectivity of protonation. However, a mixed aggregate such as 38 might be formed consisting of a lithium enolate and LiBr, and this could take part in the reaction.¹³ In fact, it has been shown that the salt suppresses the concentration of a monomeric lithium enolate, and that a lithium enolate-LiBr mixed aggregate is the dominant reactant at higher concentrations of LiBr.¹⁷

A proposed transition state of this protonation is shown in Figure 1. The lithium atom of the enolate 10 coordinates to both the nitrogen atom of the 2-oxazoline ring and the oxygen atom of (S,S)-imide 1. An eight-membered ring that avoids steric repulsion between a phenyl substituent of oxazoline ring and the alkyl ring of the enolate is formed. Thus, the imide 1 protonates selectively at the si face of the lithium enolate 10. In addition, steric bulkiness of alkyl substituents at the C-6 position seems beneficial for the enantioface discrimination. Further study on asymmetric protonation with this chiral imide and its precise reaction mechanism is currently underway.

Figure 1. Proposed transition state structures of the asymmetric protonation of lithium enolate 10 with (S,S)-imide 1

Experimental Section General Methods.

Analytical TLC was done on E. Merck precoated (0.25 mm) silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ plates. Column chromatography was conducted using silica gel 60 (E. Merck 9385, 230–400 mesh). Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu FTIR-8100 spectrometer. ¹H NMR spectra were measured on a Varian Gemini-200 (200 MHz) or Gemini-300 (300 MHz) spectrometer. Chemical shifts of ¹H NMR spectra were reported relative to tetramethylsilane (δ 0). Splitting patterns are indicated as s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet; br, broad. Mass spectra were recorded with a JEOR JMS-AX505HA mass spectrometer. Analytical gas-liquid phase chromatography (GC) was performed on a Shimadzu GC-8A instrument equipped with a flame ionization detector and a capillary column of PEG-HT (0.25 × 25000 mm) using nitrogen as carrier gas. Analytical high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was done with a Shimadzu LC-6A or 10A instrument using 4.6 mm × 25 cm Daicel CHIRALCEL AD, OB, OB-H, OD, or OD-H. Optical rotation was measured on a JASCO DIP-140 or DIP-1000 polarimeter. Microanalyses were accomplished at the Faculty of Agriculture, Nagoya University. All experiments were carried out under an atmosphere of standard grade argon gas (oxygen <10 ppm). In experiments requiring dry solvents, Et₂O and THF were freshly distilled from sodium metal using benzophenone

ketyl as indicator. Dry Et₂O and THF were also used as purchased from Wako (dehydrated, >99.5%, water: <0.005%). Dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂) was stored over 4-Å molecular sieves. Triethylamine (Et₃N) was stored over KOH pellets. Silyl enol ether 9 was prepared by treating 2,2,6-trimethylcyclohexanone (11) with LDA in THF followed by silylation (TMSCl, Et₃N). Silyl enol ethers of 24-32 and 37 were prepared by treating the corresponding ketones with bromomagnesium diisopropylamide in ether followed by silylation (TMSCl, Et₃N, HMPA). Silyl enol ether 33 and silyl enol ether of 36 were prepared by treating 2-n-pentyl-2-cyclopentenone and 2-methyl-2-cyclopentenone, respectively, with L-Selectride in THF followed by silylation (TMSCl, Et₃N). Other chemicals were used as purchased.

Acid chloride 5⁸ (Scheme 1). Suspension of Kemp's triacid 4 (5.84 g, 22.6 mmol, Aldrich) in xylene (100 mL) was heated at 180 ~ 200 °C for 7.5 h with a Dean-Stark trap under argon. Concentration of the mixture afforded a crude acid anhydride. To this anhyride was added concentrated NH₄OH (150 mL) and 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (550 mg, 4.50 mmol). The resulting homogeneous solution was stirred at 110 °C for 12 h and then concentrated to ca. 20 mL. The resulting mixture was cooled to 0 °C and acidified to pH 1 with 2 N HCl solution. After 1 h, the solid was filtered off and washed with cold water. The solid was dried at 110 °C under reduced pressure for 4 h to afford the crude imide acid. To this compound SOCl₂ (80.0 mL, 1.10 mol) was added slowly and the resulting solution was refluxed at 110 °C for 3 h. The solution was concentrated to give the acid chloride 5 (5.80 g, 22.5 mmol, >99% yield) as a pale yellow solid. The spectral data were identical with those in the literature.⁸

The intermediate imide acid can also be prepared from Kemp's triacid 4 by the following procedure: A mixture of 4 (2.0 g, 7.74 mmol) and urea (1.0 mg, 16.7 mmol) in diglyme (10 mL) was stirred at 170 ~ 180 °C for 2 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and acidified with 2 N HCl solution. The resulting white precipitate was filtered off and dried as above to give the crude imide acid (1.84 g, 7.69 mmol, >99% yield).

A mide 7 (Scheme 1). To a solution of (1R,2S)-2-amino-1,2-diphenylethanol (6, 8.53 g, 40 mmol) and triethylamine (5.6 mL, 40.2 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (15 mL) was added a solution of the imide acid chloride 5 (5.71 g, 22.2 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (30 mL) dropwise at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature for 2 h. To this mixture was added a saturated NaCl solution (20 mL) and the aqueous layer was extracted with ether (20 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate 2:1) to afford the amide 7 (9.61 g, 22.1 mmol, >99% yield) as a white solid: mp 110 ~ 114 °C; TLC R_f 0.65 (ethyl acetate); IR (CHCl₃) 3457, 3357, 3021, 1730, 1701, 1497, 1464, 1219, 1211, 1188 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz) δ 1.05-1.47 (m, 12 H, including 1.11 [s, 3 H, CH₃], 1.26 [s, 3 H, CH₃], 1.33 [s, 3 H, CH₃]), 2.02 (d, 1 H, J = 12.2 Hz), 2.33 (d, 1 H, J = 14.7 Hz), 2.80 (d, 1 H, J = 13.9 Hz), 3.61 (d, 1 H, J = 6.2 Hz, OH), 5.20 (m, 2 H, CHO and CHN), 6.17 (d, 1 H, J = 7.1 Hz, amide NH), 6.98-7.27 (m, 10 H, aromatic), 7.96 (s, 1 H, imide NH); $(\alpha)^{20}_{D}$ -35.8 (c 1.7, EtOH); FDMS, m/z calcd for $C_{26}H_{31}N_2O_4$ (M* + H) 435.2, found 435.4. Enantiomer of the amide 7: $(\alpha)^{20}_{D}$ +36.1 (c 1.9, EtOH). Other physical and spectral data (mp, TLC, IR, and ¹H NMR) were identical with those of the amide 7.

(S,S)-Imide 1 (Scheme 1). To the amide 7 (9.60 g, 22.1 mmol) was added SOCl₂ (15.0 mL, 206 mmol) dropwise at 20 °C. The resulting yellow solution was stirred at this temperature for 30 min. After evaporation of excess SOCl₂, the mixture was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL). This solution was neutralized with 2 N NaOH solution at 0 °C and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate 1:1) to afford (S,S)-imide 1 (8.46 g, 20.3 mmol, 92% yield) as a white solid: mp 183 ~ 184 °C; TLC R_f 0.42 (ethyl acetate/hexane 1:1); IR (CHCl₃) 3357, 3021, 1729, 1711, 1650, 1461, 1210, 1188 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz) δ 1.23-1.47 (m, 12 H, including 1.27 [s, 3 H, CH₃], 1.32 [s, 3 H, CH₃], 1.45 [s, 3 H, CH₃]), 2.03 (d, 1 H, J = 13.3 Hz), 2.84 (d, 1 H, J = 13.6 Hz), 2.91 (d, 1 H, J = 15.6 Hz), 5.01 (d, 1 H, J = 10.0 Hz, CHO), 5.15 (d, 1 H, J = 10.0 Hz, CHN), 7.20-7.46 (m, 11 H, aromatic and imide NH); [α]²⁶_D -21.9 (c 0.73, CHCl₃). Anal. Calcd for C₂₆H₂₈N₂O₃: C, 74.98; H, 6.77; N, 6.73. Found: C, 75.03; H, 6.74; N, 6.77. Optical purity of (S,S)-imide 1 was determined to be >99% by HPLC analysis with chiral column. Other imides were prepared by a similar procedure.

(R,R)-Imide 13. $[\alpha]_D^{26}$ +24.7 (c 0.73, CHCl₃). Other physical and spectral data (mp, TLC, IR, and ¹H NMR) were identical with those of (S,S)-imide 1.

Imide 14. mp 193~195 °C; TLC R_f 0.44 (ethyl acetate/hexane 1:3); IR (CHCl₃) 3364, 3033, 2963, 2936, 2876, 1705, 1456, 1217, 1211 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 0.89-0.96 (m, 9 H), 1.21-2.10 (m, 18 H), 2.24 (d, 1 H, J = 12.0 Hz), 2.74 (d, 1 H, J = 13.8 Hz), 2.80 (d, 1 H, J = 14.4 Hz), 5.01 (d, 1 H, J = 10.5 Hz, CHO),

5.12 (d, 1 H, J = 10.7 Hz, CHN), 7.22-7.42 (m, 11 H, aromatic and imide NH); $[\alpha]_D^{26}$ -3.3 (c 1.39, CHCl₃). Anal. Calcd for $C_{32}H_{40}N_2O_3$: C, 76.77; H, 8.05; N, 5.60. Found: C, 76.72; H, 8.02; N, 5.54.

Imide 15. mp 196~198 °C; TLC R_f 0.38 (ethyl acetate/hexane 1:3); IR (CHCl₃) 3434, 3362, 3024, 3009, 2963, 2936, 2876, 1707, 1636, 1497, 1480, 1211 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 0.79 (t, 6 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 0.94 (t, 6 H, J = 6.9 Hz), 1.06-1.38 (m, 13 H), 1.83-1.91 (m, 2 H), 2.16 (d, 1 H, J = 13.1 Hz), 2.24 (d, 2 H, J = 14.0 Hz), 7.20-7.57 (m, 13 H, aromatic, imide NH, CHO, and CHN); [α]²³_D -0.9 (c 2.35, CHCl₃). Anal. Calcd for $C_{32}H_{40}N_2O_3$: C, 76.77; H, 8.05; N, 5.60. Found: C, 76.79; H, 8.04; N, 5.56.

Imide 16. mp 154~157 °C; TLC R_f 0.58 (ethyl acetate); IR (CHCl₃) 3625, 3366, 2974, 2934, 1728, 1709, 1462, 1393, 1325, 1213, 1210 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz) δ 1.23-1.44 (m, 12 H, including 1.26 [s, 3 H], 1.28 [s, 3 H], 1.36 [s, 3 H]), 2.01 (d, 1 H, J = 14.0 Hz), 2.77 (d, 1 H, J = 14.0 Hz), 2.84 (d, 1 H, J = 14.6 Hz), 4.02 (t, 1 H, J = 8.6 Hz, CHN), 4.54 (dd, 1 H, J = 8.4, 10.4 Hz, CHO), 5.13 (dd, 1 H, J = 8.6, 10.2 Hz, CHO), 7.18-7.40 (m, 5 H, aromatic); α +27.5 (c 1.05, CHCl₃); FDMS, m/z calcd for α C₂₀H₂₄N₂O₃ (M*) 340.2, found 340.4.

Imide 17. mp $101 \sim 106$ °C; TLC R_f 0.36 (ethyl acetate/hexane 1:1); IR (CHCl₃) 3625, 3362, 3021, 2975, 2940, 1730, 1713, 1462, 1393, 1325 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz) δ 1.24 (s, 3 H), 1.27 (s, 3 H), 1.34 (d, 1 H, J = 14.0 Hz), 1.37 (d, 1 H, J = 14.4 Hz), 1.41 (d, 1 H, J = 12.0 Hz), 1.95 (d, 1 H, J = 13.4 Hz), 2.87 (d, 1 H, J = 14.6 Hz), 3.07 (d, 1 H, J = 14.4 Hz), 5.99 (s, 1 H, CHN), 6.78-7.59 (m, 16 H, aromatic and imide NH); $[\alpha]^{24}_{D}$ +220.0 (c 1.34, CHCl₃). Anal. Calcd for $C_{32}H_{32}N_2O_3$: C, 78.03; H, 6.54; N, 5.69. Found: C, 78.02; H, 6.54; N, 5.77.

Imide 18. mp 208~209 °C; TLC R_f 0.46 (ethyl acetate); IR (CHCl₃) 3625, 3370, 3029, 2971, 2934, 1728, 1709, 1655, 1462, 1391, 1213, 1180 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz) δ 0.78 (d, 3 H, J = 6.7 Hz), 0.96 (d, 3 H, J = 6.8 Hz), 1.16-1.25 (m,11 H, including 1.24 [s, 3 H], 1.25 [s, 6 H]), 1.36 (d, 1 H, J = 13.3 Hz), 1.69 (m, 1 H), 1.98 (d, 1 H, J = 13.2 Hz), 2.70 (d, 1 H, J = 14.1 Hz), 2.74 (d, 1 H, J = 14.1 Hz), 3.71-3.88 (m, 2 H, CHO and CHN), 4.15 (dd, 1 H, J = 8.0, 9.2 Hz), 7.44 (s, 1 H, imide NH); $[\alpha]_{0}^{20}$ -29.6 (c 1.26, CHCl₃). Anal. Calcd for $C_{17}H_{26}N_2O_3$: C, 66.64; H, 8.55; N, 9.14. Found: C, 66.56; H, 8.47; N, 9.19.

Imide 19. mp 198~200 °C; TLC R_f 0.65 (ethyl acetate); IR (CHCl₃) 3368, 3031, 2969, 2934, 1728, 1709, 1462, 1393, 1364, 1327 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz) δ 0.84 (s, 3 H), 1.16-1.40 (m, 12 H, including 1.24 [s, 3 H], 1.25 [s, 3 H], 1.26 [s, 3 H]), 1.98 (d, 1 H, J = 13.2 Hz) 2.65 (d, 1 H, J = 13.9 Hz) 2.85 (d, 1 H, J = 13.8 Hz), 3.71 (dd, 1 H, J = 10.0, 8.4 Hz, CHO), 3.91 (t, 1 H, J = 8.6 Hz, CHN), 4.07 (dd, 1 H, J = 10.2, 8.6 Hz, CHO), 7.43 (s, 1 H, imide NH); α -42.0 (c 1.2, CHCl₃). Anal. Calcd for α -42.0 (c 1.2, CHCl₃). Anal. Calcd for α -42.0 (c 1.2, CHCl₃). Anal. Calcd for α -43.1 H, 8.80; N, 8.74. Found: C, 67.48; H, 8.82; N, 8.65.

Imide 20. mp 105~106 °C; TLC R_f 0.42 (ethyl acetate/MeOH 10:1); IR (CHCl₃) 3625, 3363, 3009, 2975, 2934, 1727, 1707, 1655, 1462, 1221, 1217, 1211 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz) δ 1.15-1.25 (m, 11 H, including 1.22 [s, 3 H], 1.24 [s, 3 H], 1.25 [s, 3 H]), 1.36 (d, 1 H, J = 13.2 Hz), 1.98 (d, 1 H, J = 15.5 Hz), 2.63 (d, 1 H, J = 13.9 Hz), 2.73 (d, 1 H, J = 13.9 Hz), 3.42 (s, 3 H), 3.51 (m, 2 H), 4.10-4.20 (m, 3 H), 8.01 (s, 1 H, imide NH); [α]²⁰_D +19.0 (c 1.21, CHCl₃). Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₄N₂O₄: C, 62.32; H, 7.84; N, 9.08. Found: C, 62.33; H, 7.64; N, 9.31.

Imide 21. mp 209~210 °C; TLC R_f 0.47 (ethyl acetate/hexane 1:1); IR (CHCl₃) 3375, 2980, 2939, 1730, 1709, 1462, 1389, 1325, 1221, 1211, 1192 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 0.64 (d, 3 H, J = 6.5 Hz), 0.77 (d, 3H, J = 6.6 Hz), 1.21-1.29 (m, 11 H, including 1.21 [s,3 H], 1.24 [s, 3 H], 1.29 [s, 3 H]), 1.39 (d, 1 H, J = 13.3 Hz), 1.58 (m, 1 H) 1.99 (d, 1 H, J = 13.4 Hz), 2.79 (d, 1 H, J = 14.1 Hz), 2.93 (d, 1 H, J = 14.3 Hz), 4.37 (d, 1 H, J = 7.4 Hz, CHN), 7.10-7.40 (m, 11 H, aromatic and imide NH); $[\alpha]_{D}^{22}$ -248.0 (c 1.03, CHCl₃). Anal. Calcd for $C_{D}H_{M}N_{D}O_{3}$: C_{7} 75.96; H, 7.47; N, 6.11. Found: C_{7} 75.23; H, 7.13; N, 5.98.

Typical Procedure for Enantioselective Protonation of Lithium Enolate with Chiral Imide (Entry 1 in Table 1, Entry 3 in Table 2). To a solution of 9 (104 mg, 0.49 mmol) in dry Et₂O (2.5 mL) at 0 °C was added a solution of MeLi (1.5 M, 0.35 mL, 0.53 mmol) in Et₂O under argon atmosphere. After the reaction mixture had been stirred for 2 h at 20 to 25 °C, protonation was carried out at -78 °C by adding a solution of (S,S)-imide 1 (211 mg, 0.51 mmol) in dry THF (2.5 mL). The reaction solution was held at -78 °C for 2 h. Then a saturated NH₄Cl aqueous solution (10 mL) was added, and the organic material was extracted twice with Et₂O (2 × 10 mL). The combined extracts were dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was

purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/Et₂O, 5:1) to afford (R)-2,2,6-trimethylcyclohexanone (11, 59 mg, 86% yield) with 87% ee. The enantiomeric ratio was determined by GC analysis using a chiral column (CHROMPACK, 130 °C): $t_R = 10.5 \text{ min } (R\text{-isomer})$; $t_R = 10.9 \text{ min } (S\text{-isomer})$. The absolute configuration was determined by comparison of its optical rotation with published data; (R)-isomer (46% ee): $[\alpha]_{578}^n$ -36.3 (c 1.8, CHCl₃). Observed $[\alpha]_D$ value of (R)-isomer (69% ee): $[\alpha]_D^{12}$ -41.0 (c 3.1, CHCl₃). The imide 1 was recovered (>90% yield) without a noticeable loss of optical purity.

The enantiomeric ratio of other ketones summarized in Table 2 (entries 1, 2, 5-7, 9-13) was determined by HPLC analysis of the MTPA ester of alcohol derived from the corresponding ketone by reduction (NaBH₄/CH₃OH or L-Selectride®/THF) and subsequent esterification (MTPACl, DMAP, Et₃N/CH₂Cl₂). The absolute configuration of these ketones was determined by comparison with published data. Observed $[\alpha]_D$ value of ketones in Table 2: (R)-2-methylcyclohexanone (36% ee), $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ -5.9 (c 0.5, MeOH);²⁰ (R)-2-n-butylcyclohexanone (29% ee), $[\alpha]_D^{26}$ -6.6 (c 1.6, CHCl₃);²¹ (S)-2-allylcyclohexanone (64% ee), $[\alpha]_D^{26}$ -7.4 (c 3.2, MeOH);^{206,22} (S)-2-benzylcyclohexanone (39% ee), $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -11.3 (c 1.5, MeOH);^{206,22} (S)-2-n-undecanylcyclopentanone (93% ee), $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +77.3 (c 1.2, Et₂O);²³ (S)-2-allylcyclopentanone (78% ee), $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ -149.4 (c 1.2, CHCl₃);²⁴ (S)-2-benzylcyclopentanone (65% ee), $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ -95.7 (c 1.5, CHCl₃);^{4c.25} (R)-2-cyclopentylcyclopentanone (53% ee), $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ +86.6 (c 1.1, CHCl₃).²⁶

Typical Procedure for Enantioselective Protonation of Lithium Enolate with (S,S)-Imide 1 in the Presence of LiBr (Entry 8 in Table 3, Entry 4 in Table 4). To a mixture of 33 (226 mg, 1.0 mmol) and LiBr (434 mg, 5.0 mmol) in dry Et₂O (5 mL) at 0 °C was added a solution of n-BuLi (1.65 M, 0.67 mL, 1.1 mmol) in hexane under argon. ¹⁵ After the reaction mixture had been stirred for 2 h at 0 °C, a solution of (S,S)-imide 1 (443 mg, 1.1 mmol) in dry THF (5 mL) was added dropwise at -78 °C. After being stirred for 2 h, TMSCl (0.13 mL, 1.0 mmol) was added to exclude the unreacted lithium enolate 34, and stirring continued for another 30 min at this temperature. A saturated NH₄Cl solution (10 mL) was then added, and the organic material was extracted twice with Et₂O (2 \times 10 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated brine (20 mL), dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pentane/Et₂O, 5/1 to hexane/ EtOAc, 1:2) to give the (R)-enriched 2-n-pentylcyclopentanone (35, 155 mg, >99% isolated yield) with 90% ee as a colorless oil which showed the appropriate spectral data: TLC R_f 0.28 (1:10 ethyl acetate/hexane); IR (neat) 2959, 2930, 2872, 2859, 1740, 1468, 1456, 1408, 1379, 1333, 1271, 1154, 1092, 1003, 930, 831, 727 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.88 (t, 3 H, J = 6.9 Hz, CH₃), 1.16-1.41 (m, 7 H, one proton of CH₂ and 3 CH₂), 1.42-1.60 (m, 1 H, one proton of CH₂), 1.67-1.89 (m, 2 H, CH₂), 1.92-2.37 (m, 5 H, CH and 2 CH₂); $[\alpha]^{24}$ -114.9 (c 2.1, MeOH). The absolute configuration was determined by comparison of its optical rotation with published data.27 The enantiomeric ratio was determined by GC analysis using a chiral column (astec, ChiraldexTM B-TA, 80 °C, 70 Pa): $t_R = 23.9$ min (R-isomer); $t_R = 24.7$ min (Sisomer). The imide 1 was recovered (>90% yield) without a noticeable loss of optical purity.

The enantiomeric ratio of other ketones summarized in Table 4 (entries 5-8) was determined by GC analysis using a chiral column (astec, ChiraldexTM B-TA). That of 2-methylcyclopentanone (entries 1 and 2) was determined by GC analysis with chiral column (astec, ChiraldexTM B-TA) of the acetate ester of *cis*-2-methylcyclopentanol derived from the ketone by reduction (L-Selectride®/THF, -78 °C) and acetylation (Ac₂O, Et₃N/CH₂Cl₂, r.t.). The enantiomeric ratio of 2,2,6-trimethylcyclohexanone (entries 9 and 10) was determined by GC analysis with chiral column (astec, ChiraldexTM G-TA). The absolute configuration of these ketones was determined by comparison with published data. Observed $[\alpha]_D$ value of ketones in Table 4: (R)-2-methylcyclopentanone (86% ee), $[\alpha]_D^{27}$ -65.0 (c 1.57, MeOH);²² (R)-2-n-propylcyclohexanone (73% ee), $[\alpha]_D^{27}$ -18.2 (c 4.2, MeOH).

Acknowledgment. This work was supported in part by the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of the Japanese Government. T. Kikuchi also acknowledges a JSPS Fellowship for Japanese Junior Scientists.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- (1) Reviews: (a) Duhamel, L.; Duhamel, P.; Launay, J.-C.; Plaquevent, J.-C. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1984, II-421. (b) Fehr, C. Chimia 1991, 45, 253. (c) Waldmann, H. Nachr. Chem., Tech. Lab. 1991, 39, 413. (d) Hünig, S. In Houben-Weyl: Methods of Organic Chemistry; Helmchen, G., Hoffmann, R. W., Mulzer, J., Schaumann, E., Eds.; Georg Thieme Verlag: Stuttgart, 1995; Vol. E 21, p 3851. (e) Fehr, C. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 2566.
- (2) Recent reports: (a) Nakamura, Y.; Takeuchi, S.; Ohira, A.; Ohgo, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 2805. (b) Muzart, J.; Hénin, F.; Aboulhoda, S. J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1997, 8, 381. (c) Nakamura, Y.; Takeuchi, S.; Ohgo, Y.; Yamaoka, M.; Yoshida, A.; Mikami, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 2709. (d) Kosugi, H.;

- Abe, M.; Hatsuda, R.; Uda, H.; Kato, M. Chem. Commun. 1997, 1857. (e) Martin, J.; Lasne, M.-C.; Plaquevent, J.-C.; Duhamel, L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 7181.
- (3) Enantioselective protonation of silyl enol ethers and ketene silyl acetals: (a) Cavelier, F.; Gomez, S.; Jacquire, R.; Verducci, J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1993, 4, 2501. (b) Cavelier, F.; Gomez, S.; Jacquire, R.; Verducci, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 2891. (c) Ishihara, K.; Kaneeda, M.; Yamamoto, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 11179. (d) Ishihara, K.; Nakamura, S.; Yamamoto, H. Croat. Chem. Acta 1996, 69, 513. (e) Ishihara, K.; Nakamura, S.; Kaneeda, M.; Yamamoto, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 12854. (f) Taniguchi, T.; Ogasawara, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 6429. (g) Takahashi, M.; Ogasawara, K. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1997, 8, 3125.
- (4) For examples of simple enolates: (a) Hogeveen, H.; Zwart, L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 105. (b) Eleveld, M. B.; Hogeveen, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 631. (c) Matsumoto, K.; Ohta, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 4729. (d) Fuji, K.; Tanaka, K.; Miyamoto, H. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1993, 4, 247. (e) Fuji, K.; Kawabata, T.; Kuroda, A. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 1914. (f) Takahashi, T.; Nakao, N.; Koizumi, T. Chem. Lett. 1996, 207. (g) Kosugi, H.; Hoshino, K.; Uda, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 6861. (h) Tsunoda, T.; Kaku, H.; Nagaku, M.; Okuyama, E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 7759. (i) Riviere, P.; Koga, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 7589. (j) Takahashi, T.; Nakao, N.; Koizumi, T. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1997, 8, 3293.
- (5) Preliminary communications which have appeared: (a) Yanagisawa, A.; Kuribayashi, T.; Kikuchi, T.; Yamamoto, H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 107. (b) Yanagisawa, A.; Kikuchi, T.; Yamamoto, H. Synlett, in press. See also: (c) Yanagisawa, A.; Ishihara, K.; Yamamoto, H. Synlett 1997, 411.
- (6) For example, a pKa value of succinimide is 9.5, see: The Merck Index, 12th ed.; Merck & Co. Inc. U.S.A., 1996; p 1515.
- (7) (a) Kemp, D. S.; Petrakis, K. S. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 5140. (b) Rebek, J., Jr.; Askew, B.; Killoran, M.; Nemeth, D.; Lin, F.-T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 2426. Rebek and co-workers reported an asymmetric protonation of enolates using a structurally related lactam derived from Kemp's triacid 4 as a chiral proton source: (c) Potin, D.; Williams, K.; Rebek, J., Jr., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1990, 29, 1420. Curran and Rebek also reported asymmetric radical reactions using an imide chiral auxiliary prepared from the acid 4: (d) Stack, J. G.; Curran, D. P.; Rebek, J., Jr.; Ballester, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 5918. (e) Stack, J. G.; Curran, D. P.; Geib, S. V.; Rebek, J., Jr.; Ballester, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7007.
- (8) (a) Askew, B.; Ballester, P.; Buhr, C.; Jeong, K. S.; Jones, S.; Parris, K.; Williams, K.; Rebek, J., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 1082. (b) Jeong, K. S.; Tjivikua, T.; Muehldorf, A.; Deslongchamps, G.; Famulok, M.; Rebek, J., Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 201.
- (9) Sicher, J.; Pánková, M. Coll. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1955, 20, 1409.
- (10) Yanagisawa, A.; Kikuchi, T.; Watanabe, T.; Kuribayashi, T.; Yamamoto, H. Synlett 1995, 372.
- (11) Review: Colvin, E. W. Silicon in Organic Synthesis; Butterworth: London, 1981; p 217.
- (12) Jeong, K.-S.; Muehldorf, A. V.; Rebek, J., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 6144.
- (13) (a) Juaristi, E.; Beck, A. K.; Hansen, J.; Matt, T.; Mukhopadhyay, T.; Simson, M.; Seebach, D. Synthesis 1993, 1271.
 (b) Henderson, K. W.; Dorigo, A. E.; Liu, Q.-Y.; Williard, P. G.; Schleyer, P. v. R.; Bernstein, P. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 1339.
- (14) Protonation: (a) Yasukata, T.; Koga, K. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1993, 4, 35. (b) Gerlach, U.; Haubenreich, T.; Hünig, S. Chem. Ber. 1994, 127, 1981. Alkylation: (c) Murakata, M.; Nakajima, M.; Koga, K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1990, 1657. (d) Hasegawa, Y.; Kawasaki, H.; Koga, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 1963. (e) Imai, M.; Hagihara, A.; Kawasaki, H.; Manabe, K.; Koga, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 8829.
- (15) n-BuLi can be used for cleavage of the Si-O bond of silyl enol ether 33 instead of MeLi-LiBr complex.11
- (16) A small amount of hexane (ca. 3%) was also contained in each solvent. Hünig and coworkers have reported a similar solvent effect.^{14b}
- (17) Abu-Hasanayn, F.; Streitwieser, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 8136.
- (18) Krafft, M. E.; Holton, R. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 1345.
- (19) Selective 1,4-reduction of enones by L-Selectride[®], see: Fortunato, J. M.; Ganem, B. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 2194.
- (20) (a) Beard, C.; Djerassi, C.; Sicher, J.; Sipos, F.; Tichy, M. Tetrahedron 1963, 19, 919. (b) Meyers, A. I.; Williams, D. R.; Erickson, G. W.; White, S.; Druelinger, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 3081.
- (21) Stewart, J. D.; Reed, K. W.; Zhu, J.; Chen, G.; Kayser, M. M. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 7652.
- (22) Enders, D.; Eichenauer, H. Chem. Ber. 1979, 112, 2933.
- (23) Mori, A.; Yamamoto, H. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 5444.
- (24) Hashimoto, S.; Miyazaki, Y.; Ikegami, S. Synth. Commun. 1992, 22, 2717.
- (25) Ohta, T.; Miyaka, T.; Seido, N.; Kumobayashi, H.; Takaya, H. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 357.
- (26) Varech, D.; Ouannes, C.; Jacques, J. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1965, 1662.
- (27) Ohta, T.; Miyake, T.; Seido, N.; Kumobayashi, H.; Akutagawa, S.; Takaya, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 635.